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## Goals

- Identify buildings in satellite imagery
- Infer as much information as possible
- Accurate identification
- Fuse diverse information sources
- High resolution imagery
- Vector data
- Online data sources


## Motivating Example

- Chinese Embassy Bombing in Belgrade (1999)
- From Pickering Report
- Flawed procedure to identify the geographic coordinates of FDSP used
- Chinese Embassy was not in DB therefore was not considered
- But Chinese Embassy was in phone book


## Available information

- High Resolution Satellite Imagery
- Detect buildings
- NGA vector data
- Locate streets on satellite imagery
- White and Yellow Pages for Belgrade
- Find all information about buildings for a given street


## Problem Solving Approach



## Source Information



- Set of street names
- Set of buildings
-Potential street(s) it is on
- Side of street it is on
- Order for a given street
-Additional information
- Side of street where even numbers lie
-Ascending addresses direction
-Helpful but not required
-Constrains the problem


## Source Information



## Key Ideas

- Use both explicit and implicit information in publicly available data sources.
- Challenge: combining this information
- Solution: use a constraint satisfaction framework
- Leverage common properties of streets and addresses
- Cannot be deduced from any individual source but require the combination of data from multiple sources.


## Assumptions Made

- Buildings in imagery are identified
- Each building is made an assignment
- Multiple assignments per building possible
- Sources are accurate but not necessarily complete


## Constraint Formulation

- Variables ( $\mathrm{m}=$ = number of buildings)
- $s_{1} \ldots s_{m}=\{$ streets in image $\}$
- $\#_{1} \ldots \#_{m}=\{$ set of natural numbers $\}$
- $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ew}}=\{\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}\}, \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ns}}=\{W, E\}$
- $a_{\mathrm{ew}}=\{W, E\}, a_{\mathrm{ns}}=\{N, S\}$


## Constraint Formulation

- 4 constraints
- Even or $ᄀ$ Even (Odd) numbering constraint
- Ordering constraint
- Phone book constraint
- Global Variables Set constraint
- Implementation detail


## Even or $\urcorner$ Even Constraint

Assures all these buildings will be even or odd, not a mix


## Ordering Constraint

Assures that address > address because we know numbers ascend in south direction on N/S running streets


## Phone Book constraint

## Street A

Assures that all of the odd \#s and the even \#s for Street A (as found in the phone book) are a subset of the solution returned
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## Experimental Results

- Two sets of experiments
- Synthetic
- Layout of streets and buildings created by us
- Real-world scenario
- Using data and layout for a neighborhood in El Segundo CA
- Report Precision and Recall


## Precision and Recall

$$
\text { recall }=\frac{\text { correctly_labeled }}{\text { total_buildings }}
$$

$$
\text { precision }=\frac{\text { num_correct_assignments }}{\text { total_num_assignments }}
$$

- For example
- Two buildings in an image, two assignments to one building, three to the other, and a correct assignment is made to both
- recall $=100 \%$, precision $=40 \%$.


## Synthetic Experiment



## Synthetic Experiment

| Trial Type | Precision | Recall |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All information available | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| All info except even/odd | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Missing phone book entries | $85.3 \%$ | $96.6 \%$ |
| Missing entries and no even/ <br> odd | $58.6 \%$ | $96.6 \%$ |

## Real-World Experiment



- El Segundo CA neighborhood
- 34 houses
- 4 cross streets


## Real-World Experiment

| Source Used | Precision | Recall |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Phone book source | $54.7 \%$ | $94.1 \%$ |
| Property tax source | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## Discussion

- CSP Issues:
- Only gives a binary decision (yes/no)
- Preferred output
- Probabilities of assignment
- Probabilistic CSP
- Assigns probability for a given assignment
- Stochastic CSP
- Incorporates probabilities and more flexible


## Future Work

- Improving accuracy
- Soft constraints
- Using a probabilistic approach
- Studying scalability
- "Plug-in" capability
- Plug in region specific information

Thank you!

