# Understanding Customer Requirements An Enterprise Knowledge Graph Approach



Basel Shbita Anna Lisa Gentile Pengyuan Li Chad DeLuca Guang-Jie Ren

**IBM** Research

Extended Semantic Web Conference - ESWC 2023, Hersonissos, Greece



IBM Offerings Ecosystem: Enterprise Knowledge Graph (EKG)





## Initial EKG – IBM Offerings Ecosystem:

- Business Units
- Topical Verticals
- Business Offerings/Assets



IBM Research

## Augmented EKG:

- + Client requirements
- + Client pain points
- + Client needs



# Augmented EKG



# Contributions

Orchestration of NLP techniques + Semantic Resources + Human Expertise

(i) retrieve, select, and distill instrumental knowledge from the Web to build the EKG
(ii) extract structured information from technical text
(iii) user-driven mechanism to encapsulate the extracted knowledge within the EKG

# Context

Open IE

(i) Machine reading

(ii) Information represented without explicit semantics (often numerical vectors)

7

(iii) No reasoning mechanism

(iv) When semantics is explicit  $\rightarrow$  no specific domain ontology

## Targeted IE

(i) Ontology population from text

(ii) Finding entities belonging to predefined classes

(iii) KG-based Named Entity Recognition methods



# EKG augmentation Pipeline



9

# Sentence Extraction





# **Triple Extraction**

COMPANY NAME> uses a Microsoft Distributed File System to enable employees to access data and files residing on different servers, usually to create financial reports.

Previously, <<u>COMPANY NAME></u> was supporting its Microsoft Distributed File System with older IBM Power 570 servers. Although the incumbent technology had performed satisfactorily, the servers were nearing the limits of their processing capacity and were starting to generate high maintenance costs.

In addition, <<u>COMPANY NAME></u> recognized the opportunity to increase storage capacity and streamline administration tasks within its storage area network (SAN).

Thus, <<u>COMPANY NAME></u> sought a reliable IT provider to help it refresh its server and storage technology and boost the performance of its <u>Microsoft Distributed File System</u>.



**IBM** Research

subject

predicate(s)
 object(s)

ONode(s)

# Wiki Entity Extraction

| Q1229600 [Distributed File System (Microsoft)]/ class: Q339678 (distributed data store)<br><company name=""> uses a Microsoft Distributed File System to enable employees to access data and files residing on different servers, usually to create financial reports.</company>   |  |
|--|--|
| Previously, <mark><company name=""></company></mark> was supporting its Microsoft Distributed File System with older IBM Power 570 servers.<br>Although the incumbent technology had performed satisfactorily, the servers <mark>were nearing</mark> the limits of their proce<br>capacity and were starting to generate <mark>high maintenance costs</mark> . | essing                                 |
| In addition, <a href="https://www.commons.org">COMPANY NAME&gt;</a> recognized the opportunity to increase storage capacity<br>and streamline administration tasks within its storage area network (SAN).  | <pre>subject edicate(s) bject(s)</pre> |
| Thus, <company name=""> sought a reliable IT provider to help it refresh its server and storage technology and boost the performance of its Microsoft Distributed File System.</company>   | <u>2</u> Node(s)                       |



# User Assisted Client Statement Classification





# Subgraph Retrieval

## Algorithm 1: Distilled Wikidata graph construction algorithm

**Data:** a set  $\mathcal{Q}$  of QNodes **Result:** a directed acyclic graph  $\mathcal{G}$  of QNodes

1 foreach  $q \in \mathcal{Q}$  do SELECT ?c ?cLabel WHERE {  $\mathcal{G}$ .add(q); // add all QNodes to graph  $\mathbf{2}$ SERVICE wikibase:label { 3 while True do  $\mathcal{L} =$ list of nodes in  $\mathcal{G}$  with no outgoing P31/P279 edges; 4  $Q^* = \texttt{getP31P279}(\mathcal{L}) \setminus Q;$ 5 foreach  $q^* \in \mathcal{Q}^*$  do 6  $\mathcal{G}.\mathrm{add}(igcup_{q_j^*\in P31P279(q)}^{}q\mapsto q_j^*);\,// ext{ add new nodes \& edges}$ 7 if  $|\mathcal{Q}^*| == 0$  then 8 break; 9  $Q = Q^* \bigcup Q$ 10 Business

wd:Q994895 wdt:P31?/wdt:P279\* ?c . bd:serviceParam wikibase:language "en". } }



# Augmented EKG





**IBM** Research

## Experiments

IBM Research

 $\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \neg \rightarrow \rightarrow \nearrow \nearrow$  $\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \neg \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \nearrow \land \land$  $\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \land \varsigma \varsigma \varsigma \varsigma \varsigma \varsigma$  $\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \nearrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \land \land \land \land \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow$  $\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \land \land \land \land \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow$  $\land \land \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow$  $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \land \land \land \land \land \land \land \land \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow \leftarrow$ 

## Dataset

10 years of historical data successful collaborations with IBM customers

24,180 customer stories customer requirements corresponding sold assets and services assigned set of business-unit

average document length: 127 words

business-unit labels 1 to a maximum of 9 (avg 2.4) distinct labels: 13



## Multi-label Classification Task

Classifying an unseen document with one or more business units

### IBM Research

#### Baseline

- text based features only
- Doc2Vec embedding
  - document → feature vector
  - dimension: 100
  - simple and fast model
  - useful choice for a medium-sized dataset
- train a One-vs-Rest (OvR) multi-label classification model
  - Support Vector Machines (SVM) with a linear kernel



#### KG settings

- document  $\rightarrow$  graph
  - with KG variations
- graph  $\rightarrow$  KG embedding
  - ComplEx
  - low-dimensional representation of entities and relations in the KG
  - vector representation for each node in the EKG, including those representing client documents
- concatenate:
  - Doc2Vec + ComplEx
  - dimension: 100 (50 +50)



#### **KG Variations**

- no augmentation
   Doc2Vec + KG
- only SME semantic types Doc2Vec + Augmented KG (SME)
- only **Wikidata** entities Doc2Vec + Augmented KG (Wiki)
- fully augmented KG Doc2Vec + Augmented KG (Full)

# Results

| Method                        | Precision    | Recall       | $F_1$        |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Doc2Vec                       | 0.730        | 0.590        | 0.653        |
| Doc2Vec + KG                  | 0.741        | 0.605        | 0.666        |
| Doc2Vec + Augmented KG (SME)  | 0.754        | 0.608        | 0.673        |
| Doc2Vec + Augmented KG (Wiki) | <b>0.761</b> | 0.621        | 0.684        |
| Doc2Vec + Augmented KG (Full) | <b>0.761</b> | <b>0.638</b> | <b>0.694</b> |

## Conclusions

### IBM Research



#### Goal

Helping Sales People to navigate and browse company offerings

#### 0 ↓ 0□∆ 0□00

Downstream Task Evaluation

Business Unit Classification



### EKG

Offerings services Client Needs

Customer data

10 years of client success stories

# Understanding Customer Requirements An Enterprise Knowledge Graph Approach



Basel Shbita Anna Lisa Gentile Pengyuan Li Chad DeLuca Guang-Jie Ren

#### **IBM** Research

Extended Semantic Web Conference - ESWC 2023, Hersonissos, Greece

